REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 4-8 are pending in this application. Amendments are proposed amending claim 1 and canceling claims 2 and 4. Upon entry of this amendment, claims 1 and 5-8 will be pending.

Regarding the examined claims.

In the final Office action, the Examiner indicates that claims 1, 2 and 4-7 are pending. However, claim 8 was added in the Amendment of June 30, 2003, and is entitled to consideration.

Applicants' representative Daniel Geselowitz telephoned Examiner Wachtel on October 8, 2003, requesting a new Office action examining claim 8. Examiner Wachtel indicated that if Applicants responded to the rejections in the present Office action and noted that claim 8 had not been examined, he would issue a non-final Office action in response.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 03106942A in view of U.S. 5,747,533 to Guzauskas in view of JP 06313019A substantially as set forth in paragraphs 6 and 7 of the previous Office action. (Office action paragraph no. 3)

Reconsideration of the rejection of claims 1 and 5-7 is respectfully requested in view of the proposed amendments to the claims. In the amendments, claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claims 2 and 4. Claims 2 and 4 have accordingly been canceled.

Response dated December 17, 2003

Reply to OA of September 23, 2003

In the rejection, the Examiner cites JP 03106942A for disclosing a sheet that can be molded

and shaped at a low temperature by impregnating a fibrous reinforcement with a photocurable

unsaturated polyester monomer resin solution, with a saturated polyester resin film which can be

swollen and softened by a component contained in the resin solution. That is, the Examiner states

that JP'942A discloses limitations (a), (c) and (d) of claim 1, and also discloses the compatibility

portion of limitation (b), although not the polymethyl methacrylate limitation.

The Examiner cites Guzauskas for the disclosure of polymethyl methacrylate and substitutes

this polymethyl methacrylate for the photocurable unsaturated polyester monomer resin in JP'942A.

In the amendment to claim 1, component (b) is limited to be in "powdered form having a

weight average molecular weight of 100,000 or more", and the photocurable sheet-form material is

recited to comprise component "(e) one or more resins selected from a (meth)acrylic polymer, an

unsaturated polyester, a vinyl ester, or an urethane acrylate".

To clarify the relationship between the components of JP'942A and claim 1, Applicants

present the following table.

-5-

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/926,160 Response dated December 17, 2003 Reply to OA of September 23, 2003

JP '942A	Claim 1	Comment
crosslinking monomer	(a) polymerizable unsaturated	Resin component
(example: styrene)	monomer (acrylic)	
metal oxide (see Examples)	(b) polymer: polymethyl	thickener
	methacrylate or consisting of	
	methyl methacrylate units, in	
	powdered form	
liquid unsaturated polyester	(e) radical-curable polymer	Resin component
resin	resin (ex. unsaturated	
	polyesters, vinyl esters, etc.)	
fibrous reinforcement	fibrous reinforcement	fiber material
photocuring agent	photocuring agent	reinforcing agent

It is noteworthy that the liquid unsaturated polyester resin, which is the resin component in JP'942A, corresponds to the radical-curable polymer resin (e) of the present invention. There is also a difference with respect to the thickeners in JP'942A and claim 1.

Applicants note that the Examiner appears to have considered component (b) in claim 1 to correspond to the "unsaturated polyester resin" of JP'942A. However, it is clear that component (b) in claim 1 of the present application **does not** have unsaturated groups and thus **cannot** correspond to the "unsaturated polyester resin" of JP'942A. JP'942A discloses a photocurable sheet of an unsaturated polyester resin that can be molded at a low temperature, and this resin corresponds to

Reply to OA of September 23, 2003

the unsaturated polyester resin which is component (e) of the present invention, and the polymer of

component (a) of the present invention is not contained in the sheet of JP'942A. Applicants submit

that JP'942A does not teach or suggest a main component and thickener corresponding to component

(a) of the present invention.

Still further, as amended, component (b) of the present invention is in powdered form, while

the "unsaturated polyester resin" of JP'942A is a liquid. Applicants submit that there is no teaching

or suggestion in JP'942A for a component corresponding to component (b) in present claim 1.

With regard to the combination with Guzauskas (U.S. Patent No. 5,747,553), this reference

does not disclose a photocurable sheet. Guzauskas discloses "thermosetting" molding compositions

in column 1, lines 11 and 15. Guzauskas as disclosed in the Examples, lines 9 and 45 of column 6,

and lines 23 and 34 of column 7, relates to a composition for BMC and solves problems of

compositions which require fillers. Therefore, there would be no general motivation in the

references to substitute a component from the thermosetting system of Guzauskas into the

photocurable system of JP'942A.

The present invention relates to a photocurable sheet an thus is constituted such that it does

not contain a filler which would hinder transmission of light. Furthermore, the object of the present

invention is "to obtain an excellent sheet-form material having excellent productivity, handleability,

and photocurability", which clearly differs from the objects of Guzauskas. Accordingly, even if the

-7**-**

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/926,160

Response dated December 17, 2003

Reply to OA of September 23, 2003

composition of JP'942A and Guzauskas were simply made into sheets, it can be seen that the

aforementioned object of the present invention would not be achieved.

Furthermore, Guzauskas neither discloses nor suggests the SP value limitation of the present

claims.

Japanese Unexamined Patent Application, First Publication No. Hei 6-313019 (JP'019)

relates to an acrylic syrup comprising an acrylic resin and an acrylic monomer, a cross-linked

polymer powder resin composition, and to a method for producing artificial marble. The artificial

marble disclosed by the cited invention is excellent in terms of viscosity, water resistance,

moldability, and texture, but there is no teaching or suggestion concerning a photocurable sheet as

in the present invention or the constituent components thereof. In fact, Applicants note that claim

7 of JP'019 recites a filler as an indispensable component and thus teaches away from

photocurability.

Regarding thickeners

When JP'942A and the present invention are compared based on the corresponding

relationships shown in the table above, Applicants believe that it is apparent that there is no clear

motivation for modification of the thickener of JP'942A to be a polymer as recited in claim 1. In

JP'942A, magnesium oxide is used as a thickener in Examples 1 and 2, but, as disclosed in the

specification of the present application, when a metal oxide is used as a thickener, there are problems

-8-

Reply to OA of September 23, 2003

related to solubility into monomers such as styrene and acrylic monomer, and it is recognized that

problems arise during photocuring. Accordingly, it is conceivable that there are inducements to use

components other than metal oxides as thickeners; however, as disclosed in the Background Art of

the present specification, when acrylic resin powders are used as thickeners, problems with the

insoluble portions thereof similarly arise during photocuring. Thus, it can be seen that, in the

technical field pertaining to the present invention, common technical knowledge teaches away from

the use of acrylic resin powders as thickeners. From this standpoint, it can also be seen that

Guzauskas, which uses solid acrylic resin, does not suggest the present invention.

In the present invention, a methacrylic type resin powder is used as a thickener, but it can be

seen that it is not, in fact, an acrylic type resin powder and, furthermore, greatly differs from the

metal oxide of JP'942A. Therefore, JP'942A does not, in fact, provide component (b) of the present

claims. There appears to be no suggestion in any of the cited references to substitute a polymer such

as recited for component (b) for the metal oxide in JP'942A.

JP'019 does disclose an emulsion polymerization of a methacrylic type monomer as cross-

linked polymer powder (B). A methyl methacrylate polymer is cited in the Examples of JP'019 and

does overlap somewhat with the polymethyl methacrylate thickener of the present invention;

however, the component in JP'019 which corresponds to component (a) of the present invention is

(meta)acrylate polymer, which differs from component (a) of the present invention, an unsaturated

polyester resin (radical-curable polymer resin) or the like. Given the fundamentally different

-9-

Response dated December 17, 2003

Reply to OA of September 23, 2003

composition of JP'019 from JP'942A, there would be no reason to substitute powder (B) in JP'019

for the metal oxide in JP'942A..

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion that "the acrylic monomers

[of JP'019] inherently possess the claimed solubility parameters" (page 4 of Office action of March

28, 2003. Applicants do not believe that the Examiner has provided a proper basis in fact or

technical reasoning that the particular solubility parameter limitations of claim 1 would necessarily

be inherent in these compounds. Moreover, this portion of the rejection is based on substitution of

the acrylic monomers in JP'019 for the crosslinking monomer in JP'942A, which is styrene in the

examples. The styrene monomers of JP'942 almost certainly would not have the recited limitation,

and there is no reason to conclude that the acrylic monomers of JP'019 would possess this limitation.

Applicants submit that there is no clear suggestion for substitution of the acrylic monomers of

JP'019 into JP'942, and given the use of styrene in JP'942, there would appear to be no suggestion

or motivation for the solubility parameter limitation in JP'942A even if substituted with monomers

from JP'019.

Applicants therefore submit that claims 1 and 5-7, as well as claim 8, are novel and non-

obvious over JP'942, JP'019 and Guzauskas, taken separately or in combination.

-10-

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. **09/926,160** Response dated December 17, 2003 Reply to OA of **September 23, 2003**

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants undersigned agent at the telephone number indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

Daniel A. Geselowitz, Ph.D Agent for Applicants

Reg. No. 42,573

DAG/plb Atty. Docket No. **011145** Suite 1000 1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 659-2930

23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

H:\HOME\dgeselowitz\USPTO Amendments and Responses as filed\011145\011145 amend 12-18-03